Bayesian linear mixed model with multiple random effects for prediction analysis on high dimensional multi-omics data

Bioinformatics, October 26, 2023

Yang Hai, Jixiang Ma, Kaixin Yang, Yalu Wen

Woobeen Jeong March 14, 2024

Interdisciplinary Program in Bioinformatics, Seoul National University

Contents

Introduction

- Materials and Methods
 - Two step Bayesian linear mixed model
 - Step1: Multi-omics data integration for each region
 - Step2: Risk prediction with multi-omics data
- Result
 - Simulation study:
 - 1. Single omics data vs. Multi omics data
 - 2. Real data: Alzheimer's disease PET neuro-imaging data
- Discussion

Introduction

- Biological phenomena can be clarified in the context of multiple level omics system.
- Two main goal of omics integration is:

multi level pathway inference
 detect underlying molecular patterns

- Through analysis and interpretation of such multi-omics data, accurate disease risk prediction is possible, contributing to precision medicine.
- Previous common approaches in ML/DL:
 - Summarize each omics layer from the dataset as a latent variable and then integrates them.
 - Calculate similarities between two classes of samples in networks based analysis.
 - Dimensionality reduction plays a crucial role.

Limitation of Dimensional reduction

- PCA (Principal Component Analysis)
 - Selects principal components (eigenvectors) in a way that maximizes the variance of the data, by eigen value decomposition on the covariance matrix
- PLS (Partial Least Squares)
 - maximize the variance of the linear combination of X(=t), while simultaneously maximizing the covariance between the linear combination of X, observable as in PCA, and Y.



maximize corr(t, Y)Var(t)

Limitation of Dimensional reduction

- LRA (Low rank approximation)
 - Approximates a given matrix with a lower-rank matrix, capturing the most important underlying structure by eigenvalue decomposition on the covariance matrix.
- CCA (Canonical Correlation Analysis)
 - Identifies linear combinations of variables in two datasets that maximize their correlation.
- Can simplify the structure of the data and facilitate interpretation.
- However, these kinds of reduction are not designed to maximize the prediction accuracy.
- Normal distribution for every omics layer may not represent the true effect size distribution.
 Vast amount of noise can result in an similarity estimation process.
- To address this issues, they proposed two way linear mixed model(TBLMM).

Background: Kernel function (kernel trick)

• To operate in a high-dimensional data, implicit feature space by computing inner product. (as a similarity, also called as similarity function K)

 $k(x, x'), \quad \forall x, x' \in X, \quad k: X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies Mercer's condition



as characteristics:

1) positive semi – definiteness:
$$\int \int g(x)k(x,x')g(x')dxdx' \ge 0$$
, $\alpha^T K\alpha \ge 0$

2) non – negative:
$$k(x, x') = c$$
, $c \int g(x) dx \int g(x') dx' = c \left(\int g(x) dx \right)^2$

Background: LMM

Linear mixed model (LMM) consists of fixed effect • (β) and random effect(u) also known as blocking:

 $Y_{ii} = (\beta_0 + \boldsymbol{u_{0i}}) + (\beta_1 + \boldsymbol{u_{1i}})X_i + \epsilon_{ii} \quad \epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma)$

For more complex mixed model, ٠

 $Y = X\beta + Zu + \epsilon$

Fixed effect vector (β) = population mean do not vary. Random effect vector (u) = parameter can vary depend on blocks(subject, time point, ...).

- We can blocking the model by LMM ٠
- Limitations: •
 - It simply assumes all genetic variants have the same effect-size distribution that can be sensitive to the underlying disease model.
 - For genomic data, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that come from different genetic regions are unlikely to have the same type of effect sizes.



b а

d

Cn

Treatment

Background: BLMM

• Bayesian Linear mixed model (BLMM) can accommodate various model assumption, by specifying different prior distributions on cumulative $effect(g_m)$.

$$Y = X\Gamma\beta + \sum_{m=1}^{M} g_m + \epsilon_i, \ \epsilon_i \sim N(0, I\sigma_{\epsilon}^2), \qquad \beta \sim N(0, \sigma_{\beta}^2), \qquad \Gamma = \operatorname{diag}(\gamma), \gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_p)^{\mathrm{T}}, \gamma_i \sim \operatorname{Bernoulli}(\theta_0)$$

- $\theta_0 \in [0,1]$ as the tuning parameter for controlling sparsity indicating whether genetic variant exist.
- Using γ as a variable selection avoids underestimating the posterior variance.
- While set a multivariate normal prior for each cumulative effect(g_m), σ_m^2 reflects the effect sizes for predictors that allows for difference across regions(m) as inversed gamma.

$$g_m | K_m \sim N(0, K_m \sigma_m^2), m = 1, \dots, M, \quad \sigma_m^2 \quad \sim IG(a, b), \qquad K_m = G_m W_m G_m^T / p_m, \\ W_m = diag(w_1, \dots, w_{p_m}), w_i = \frac{1}{MAF_i(1 - MAF_i)}$$

- K_m is the genetic similarity for region, where G_m is genotype matrix and number of genetic marker (p_m) .
- W_m is the weights of the rare variants, where MAF_i is minor allele frequency for the *i* th variant $(1, ..., p_m)$.
- Because σ_m^2 are expected to be small, the hyperparameters(*a*, *b*) are set to be 0.1 for all regions.

TBLMM: Two step Bayesian LMM

• To model the outcome as a sum of region-wise predictive effect from region $m \in \{1, ..., M\}$:

$$Y = \sum_{m=1}^{M} F_m + \epsilon_n, \, \epsilon_n \sim N(0, I\sigma_{\epsilon}^2)$$

F_m as the joint predictive effect from all omics data, Decomposed into large effect from a few predictors *X_mβ_m*, small effect from a large number of predictors *O_m* as joint predictive effects.
 S_m is the set of all effects (marginal effect of genome, interaction between genome and methylome)

where
$$X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p_o^m}$$
, $X_m = [X_{expression}^m, X_{methylation}^m, \dots, X_{genomics}^m]$

$$Y = \sum_{m=1}^{M} (X_m \beta_m + O_m) + \epsilon_n = \sum_{m=1}^{M} (X_m \beta_m + \sum_{j \in S_m} O_j^m) + \epsilon_n, \qquad \epsilon_n \sim N(0, I\sigma_\epsilon^2), \qquad O_j^m \sim N(0, K_j^m \sigma_{mj}^2)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{m=1}^M \begin{bmatrix} X_{11} & \cdots & X_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_{n1} & \cdots & X_{nm} \end{bmatrix} [\beta_1 \cdots \beta_m] + \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{j \in S_m} o_j^m + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_n \end{bmatrix}$$

TBLMM: C+T prediction method for ΣXmβm

• Previously in BLMM, due to the high computational burden with high-dimensional multi-omics input, Using a SCT (Stacked Clumping and Thresholding) method to get C+T score. (polygenic score)

$$X_{i}^{(k)}(INFO_{T}, r_{c}^{2}, w_{c}^{2}, p_{T}) = \sum_{\substack{j \in S_clumping(k, INFO_{T}, r_{c}^{2}, w_{c}^{2}) \\ p_{j} < p_{T}}} \widehat{\beta}_{j} \cdot G_{i,j} \qquad in \quad Y_{BLMM} = X_{m}\beta_{m} + \sum_{m=1}^{M} g_{m} + \epsilon_{i}$$

- *INFO* score (*INFO*_T) is the thresholds on genotype imputation $\in [0,1]$,
- r_c^2 is squared correlation for clumping threshold, w_c^2 is clumping window size divided by r_c^2 .
- $\hat{\beta}_j = p_j$ are the p-value as the effect size from GWAS with *INFO* score $\leq INFO_T$ (below the threshold).
- Similarly, Using univariate analysis to estimate effect size of each predictor, Select a fraction of predictors with the largest effects in each region *m*.
- Get estimate effect size $\widehat{\beta_{pm}}$ from $n \times p_o^m$ dimensional X_m in Y (by linear regression)
- in $Y_{TBLMM} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} (X_m^r \beta_m^r + O_m) + \epsilon_i$
- 5% Largest effect within region *m* selected : $n \times p_o^m \rightarrow n \times p_r^m$ ($m_r = 0.05m_o$) as X_m^r

Step 1: Integration for each region

• To model the outcome as a sum of region-wise predictive effect from region m = 1, ..., M, Consider different omics data (T_1^m) , within layer interaction (T_2^m) , and between layer interaction (T_3^m) .

$$from \ Y = X_m \beta_m + \sum_{j \in S_m} o_j^m + \epsilon_n, \qquad Y = X_m \beta_m + \sum_{t=1}^{T_1^m} o_t^m + \sum_{t'=1}^{T_2^m} W_{t'}^m + \sum_{t''=1}^{T_3^m} B_{t''}^m + \epsilon_n$$

• Consider joint effect(o_t^m) of omics data by using random effect term, similar to BLMM,

$$o_t^m \sim N(0, K_{o,t}^m \sigma_{o,mt}^2), \qquad W_{t'}^m \sim N(0, K_{w_1,t'}^m \sigma_{w_2,mt'}^2 + K_{w_1,t'}^m \sigma_{w_2,mt'}^2), \qquad B_{t''}^m \sim N(0, K_{b,t''}^m \sigma_{b,mt''}^2)$$

• Calculate marginal predictive effect from each of them by using linear kernel function.

Step 1: Multi omics data integration

• To model the outcome as a sum of region-wise predictive effect from region m = 1, ..., M, Consider different omics data (T_1^m) , within layer interaction (T_2^m) , and between layer interaction (T_3^m) .

$$from \ Y = X_m \beta_m + \sum_{j \in S_m} o_j^m + \epsilon_n, \qquad Y = X_m \beta_m + \sum_{t=1}^{T_1^m} o_t^m + \sum_{t'=1}^{T_2^m} W_{t'}^m + \sum_{t''=1}^{T_3^m} B_{t''}^m + \epsilon_n$$

• Consider joint effect(o_t^m) of omics data by using random effect term, similar to BLMM,

$$o_t^m \sim N(0, K_{o,t}^m \sigma_{o,mt}^2), \qquad W_{t'}^m \sim N(0, K_{w_1,t'}^m \sigma_{w_2,mt'}^2 + K_{w_1,t'}^m \sigma_{w_2,mt'}^2), \qquad B_{t''}^m \sim N(0, K_{b,t''}^m \sigma_{b,mt''}^2)$$

• Calculate marginal predictive effect from each of them by using linear kernel function.

Step 1: Multi omics data integration

$$o_{t}^{m} \sim N(0, K_{o,t}^{m} \sigma_{o,mt}^{2}), \qquad W_{t'}^{m} \sim N(0, K_{w_{1},t'}^{m} \sigma_{w_{2},mt'}^{2} + K_{w_{2},t'}^{m} \sigma_{w_{2},mt'}^{2}), \qquad B_{t''}^{m} \sim N(0, K_{b,t''}^{m} \sigma_{b,mt''}^{2})$$

- Each Kernel defined as:
- While Z_{mt}^k, Z_{mt}^l are the vectors of t th omics data for individual k, l
- p_{mt} is the number of variants for t th omics layer.
- $\theta_{mt'}^{w2w}$ is the parameter for t th omics layer indicates the rate of decay of the covariance.

$$\frac{K_{o,t}^{m}(Z_{mt}) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_{mt}}}Z_{mt}^{k}\right)^{T}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_{mt}}}Z_{mt}^{l}\right) \qquad K_{b,t}^{m} = K_{t_{1}''}^{m} \circ K_{t_{2}''}^{m} \\
= \left(\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_{mt'}}}Z_{mt'}^{k}\right)^{T}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_{mt'}}}Z_{mt'}^{l}\right)\right)^{2} \circ = \text{Hadamard product} \\
K_{w_{1},t'}^{m}(Z_{mt'};\theta_{mt'}^{w2w}) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\sin^{-1}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{p_{mt'}}(Z_{mt'}^{k})^{T}Z_{mt'}^{l}}{\sqrt{(\theta_{mt'}^{w2w} + |Z_{mt'}^{k}|^{2}/p_{mt'})(\theta_{mt'}^{w2w} + |Z_{mt'}^{l}|^{2}/p_{mt'})}}\right)$$

Step 1: Multi omics data integration

$$o_{t}^{m} \sim N(0, K_{o,t}^{m} \sigma_{o,mt}^{2}), \qquad W_{t'}^{m} \sim N(0, K_{w_{1},t'}^{m} \sigma_{w_{2},mt'}^{2} + K_{w_{2},t'}^{m} \sigma_{w_{2},mt'}^{2}), \qquad B_{t''}^{m} \sim N(0, K_{b,t''}^{m} \sigma_{b,mt''}^{2})$$

• Variance-covariance matrix can be sum of kernels:

$$\Sigma^{m} = \sum_{t=1}^{T^{m}} \underbrace{K_{o,t}^{m} \sigma_{o,mt}^{2}}_{t=1} + \sum_{t=1}^{T_{1}^{m}} \underbrace{K_{w_{1},t'}^{m} \sigma_{w_{2},mt'}^{2}}_{t=1} + \sum_{t=1}^{T_{2}^{m}} \underbrace{K_{w_{2},t'}^{m} \sigma_{w_{2},mt'}^{2}}_{t=1} + \sum_{t=1}^{T_{3}^{m}} \underbrace{K_{b,t''}^{m} \sigma_{b,mt''}^{2}}_{t=1} + I_{n} \sigma_{o}^{2}$$

• All coefficients σ_{ml}^2 are non-negative, where

$$\sigma_{ml}^2 \in \left[\sigma_{o,m1}^2, \dots, \sigma_{w1,m1}^2, \dots, \sigma_{w2,m1}^2, \dots, \sigma_{b,m1}^2\right], \qquad l \in \{1, \dots L = T_1^m + T_2^m + T_3^m\}, \qquad K^m = \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{\sigma_{ml}^2}{\sum_{l=1}^L \sigma_{ml}^2} K_l^m$$

• So that,

$$Y = X_m \beta_m + \sum_{t=1}^{T_1^m} o_t^m + \sum_{t'=1}^{T_2^m} W_{t'}^m + \sum_{t''=1}^{T_3^m} B_{t''}^m + \epsilon_n \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad Y = \sum_{m=1}^M (X_m \beta_m + O_m) + \epsilon_n, O_m \sim N(0, K^m \sigma_m^2)$$

Step 2: Risk prediction

• Under the Bernoulli-Gaussian prior for each β_m^r , re-parameterized by binary variable γ_m

$$Y = \sum_{m=1}^{M} (X_m^r \beta_m^r + O_m) + \epsilon_n, O_m \sim N(0, K^m \sigma_m^2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y = \sum_{m=1}^{M} (X_m^r \Gamma_m \beta_m^r + O_m) + \epsilon_n, \qquad \Gamma_m = \operatorname{diag}(\gamma_m), \gamma_m = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p)^T, \gamma_m \sim \operatorname{Bernoulli}(\theta_0)$$

• Through TBLMM, we can select predictive regions (O_m) when multiple regions are considered.

$$O_m | K^m, \sigma_m^2 \sim D(r_m) N(0, K^m \sigma_m^2) + (1 - D(r_m)) \delta_1, r_m \sim Ber(\delta_0), D(r_m), \delta_1 = 0$$

• $D(r_m)$ is the probability of success for a Bernoulli random trial of r_m

Simulation study

- To evaluate the performance of TBLMM, compared with TBLMM-LIN for only linear kernel and OmicKrig that widely used method.
- For Multi-omics dataset,
- Gene expression data from ANDI (Alzheimer's disease Neuroimaging Initiative, n=712) contains an average number of approximately 1,300 mutations per genes.
 To mimic the real human genome, get the gene expression levels for each gene region by region.
- 2. Genomic data from ANDI, same.
- 3. Methylation data generated by methylKit
- 80% of train set were randomly selected, remain 20% for calculation of Pearson correlation and RMSE (Root mean square error).
 - higher correlation indicates better prediction on model
 - lower RMSE, Root mean square of error between predicted and actual values indicates the better one.
- Repeat simulation 100 times each.

Simulation study: single omics data

- The performance of TBLMM is better even when the outcome is affected by a single omics only,
- such as gene expression (E) with linear effect, genomic (G), or methylation(epigenomic) (M).
- Of all, even single omics data was given, TBLMM demonstrates better performance.



Real data

- For practical application, real data from ANDI (Alzheimer's disease Neuroimaging Initiative, n=712)
- To predict the illness based on the positron emission tomography (PET) image outcome, Two different baseline datasets, AV45 (n=639) and FDG (n=501), were used.
- 1. Whole genome sequencing (WGS, n=818) performed on blood sample with illumine Hiseq2000.
- 2. Gene expression profiling accompanied with WGS (n=811) using U219 Array.
- Total n=712 after quality control were used.
- The datasets were split into train and test sets with an 80% to 20% ratio, respectively, and this process was repeated 100 times.

Result

- For both AV45 and FDG, TBLMM showed higher prediction performance than OmicKrig.
- Comparing model with omics data and single layer omics only, variance of outcome can be mainly explained by genetic effects.





Discussion

- Proposed TBLMM is a flexible model because of a two step procedure, first step focusing on dimensional reduction via kernel fusion while second step for detect predictors through Bayesian linear mixed model
- It can accommodate various disease model.
- By selecting appropriate kernel, TBLMM can capture not only key predictors but also discriminate between additive and non-additive omics effects.
- Based on real data from Alzheimer's patients, TBLMM performs better than OmicKrig with higher prediction accuracy.

Thank You